

# Takoma Park Redistricting Summary Statistics

Option 1—DRAFT—Subject to change 11/18/2021



#### **Ideal Population Criterion**

Ideal Population			2,939
Overall Range			8.6%
< 5.0%	5.0 - 10.0%		> 10.0%

### **Total Population & Deviation per Ward**

Ward	Total Population	Over / Under Ideal	Deviation From Ideal
1	2,975	36	1.2%
2	2,799	-140	-4.8%
3	3,053	114	3.9%
4	2,834	-105	-3.6%
5	2,948	9	0.3%
6	3,024	85	2.9%

### Total Population by Race/Ethnicity per Ward

Ward	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	Some Other Race	Two or More Races	Hispanic/ Latino
1	65.9%	12.0%	0.3%	3.3%	0.0%	0.3%	8.3%	9.9%
2	56.4%	19.6%	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%	0.7%	6.6%	13.3%
3	53.4%	27.5%	0.1%	2.8%	0.0%	0.4%	6.1%	9.7%
4	22.3%	58.0%	0.1%	4.9%	0.0%	1.0%	2.6%	11.1%
5	31.8%	32.3%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%	0.8%	6.0%	24.5%
6	20.7%	40.0%	0.0%	8.5%	0.1%	0.5%	5.2%	25.1%

2020 Census P.L 94-171 Redistricting Data Summary Files Total Population by race and Hispanic/Latino origin.

## Takoma Park Redistricting Summary Statistics

Option 1—DRAFT—Subject to change 11/18/2021



#### CVAP by Race/Ethnicity per Ward

Ward	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Hispanic/ Latino
1	69.2%	13.7%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%	1.7%	12.5%
2	66.4%	19.3%	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%	2.5%	8.7%
3	62.3%	25.6%	0.5%	3.5%	0.3%	3.2%	4.8%
4	46.7%	41.4%	0.0%	2.9%	0.3%	6.2%	2.7%
5	54.0%	32.2%	1.1%	3.8%	0.0%	1.3%	7.6%
6	33.1%	35.4%	0.0%	15.8%	0.0%	1.2%	14.4%

2015-2019 (5-year) American Community Survey (ACS) Citizen Voting-age Population (CVAP) by Race and Ethnicity Special Tabulation. Some Other Race category not included within the ACS special tabulation.

#### Compactness Measures per Ward

Ward	Polsby-Popper	Schwartzberg	Reock	Convex Hull	Length-Width
1	0.58	1.31	0.38	0.92	0.62
2	0.29	1.85	0.33	0.66	0.64
3	0.27	1.93	0.25	0.66	0.67
4	0.48	1.44	0.41	0.76	0.91
5	0.21	2.17	0.20	0.59	0.63
6	0.45	1.49	0.28	0.80	0.98

Polsby-Popper, Reock, Convex Hull, and Length-Width scores fall within the range of 0-1, with 0 being the least compact and 1 being the most compact. In comparison, a Schwartzberg score of 1 is the most compact and higher scores are increasingly less compact.

<sup>\*</sup>Rounding may lead to summation of race/ethnicity percentages not equal to 100% (+/- 1%)